Translation PATEN INTERNATION

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	'							
Applicant's or agent's file reference FP-5277PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	nonth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/JP97/03016	29 August 1997 (29.0	8.1997) 03 September 1996 (03.09.1996)						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C12N 5/00, C12M 1/00, C12M		RECEIVE						
		JAN 2 0 2000						
Applicant	KANEKA CORPORA	ATION .						
Authority and is transmitted to the 2. This REPORT consists of a total of This report is also accompanded and are the leading are the le	applicant according to Article 36 4 sheets, includir unied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets	of the description, claims and/or drawings which have containing rectifications made before this Authority						
·	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:							
I Basis of the repor	t							
II Priority								
III Non-establishmer	nt of opinion with regard to novel	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Taril at anima et	rvention	0× «III						
, Reasoned stateme								
VI Certain document	s cited							
VII Certain defects in the international application								
VII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	f completion of this report						
04 February 1998 (04.0	2.1998)	02 November 1998 (02.11.1998)						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP Japanese Patent Office, 4-3 Kasumig Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	aseki 3-chome	one No. (81-3) 3581 1101						
Facsimile No.		Telephone No. (81-3) 3581 1101						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP97/03016

I. Basis of the	report					
1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
\boxtimes	the international	application as originally filed.				
	the description,	pages	_, as originally filed,			
		pages	_, filed with the demand,			
		pages	_, filed with the letter of,			
		pages	_, filed with the letter of			
	the claims,	Nos.	_ , as originally filed,			
		Nos.	, as amended under Article 19,			
		Nos	_ , filed with the demand,			
		Nos.	, filed with the letter of,			
		Nos	, filed with the letter of			
	the drawings,	sheets/fig	_ , as originally filed,			
		sheets/fig	, filed with the demand,			
		sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of,			
		sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of			
2. The amendn	nents have resulte	ed in the cancellation of:				
	the description,	pages				
	the claims,	Nos				
	the drawings,	sheets/fig				
3. This r to go	report has been es beyond the discle	stablished as if (some of) the amosure as filed, as indicated in the	endments had not been made, since they have been considered supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		r 16				
4. Additional o	observations, if no	ecessary:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 97/03016

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statement	

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-24	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-24	YES
	Claims		NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-24	YES
	Claims		NO	

2. Citations and explanations

The invention disclosed in Claims 1 through 24 is not disclosed in any of the documents cited in the international search report, nor is it obvious to a person skilled in the art.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 97/03016

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

(1) Claims 1 and 13 through 20 are not sufficiently supported by the specification.

The specification including the embodiments, etc. only discloses that when culturing human cells, immunosuppressive cells are induced when certain types of antibodies and/or cytokine coexist. It does not state that only by culturing "with a culturing device having an affinity to protein" are the immunosuppressive cells induced.

Furthermore, even after taking into consideration the present state of the art, it is not possible to acknowledge that the above-mentioned induction can be achieved by means of culturing "with a culturing device having an affinity to protein" alone.

Consequently, the invention disclosed in the abovementioned claims is not sufficiently supported by the specification.

<u>.....</u>